

# Hawai'i Hospital Emergency Department Visits Insured v. Uninsured 2000-2010

Prepared by Hawai'i Health Information Corporation

May 2011

Hawai'i Covering Kids is a project of the Hawai'i Primary Care Association. It is currently funded by the Hawai'i State Department of Human Services and U.S. Department of Health & Human Services.


735 Bishop Street, Suite 230 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813-4816  
Telephone 808.536.8442 Facsimile 808.524.0347 Email [coverkids@aol.com](mailto:coverkids@aol.com) Web Site [www.coveringkids.com](http://www.coveringkids.com)




## Hawai'i Covering Kids

Advocating Health Insurance for All Keiki and 'Ōpio

**Percent of ED Visits Uninsured**




**Uninsured ED Visits - County**



**Uninsured ED Visits - County**

	Hawaii	Percentage	Honolulu	Percentage	Kauai	Percentage	Maui	Percentage
<b>2000</b>	2,038	16.5 %	7,893	63.9 %	516	4.2 %	1,897	15.4 %
<b>2001</b>	2,315	18.1 %	8,082	63.2 %	509	4.0 %	1,889	14.8 %
<b>2002</b>	2,136	15.6 %	8,393	61.2 %	1,150	8.4 %	2,029	14.8 %
<b>2003</b>	2,216	16.7 %	7,725	58.2 %	1,104	8.3 %	2,224	16.8 %
<b>2004</b>	2,365	17.1 %	8,044	58.1 %	1,100	7.9 %	2,334	16.9 %
<b>2005</b>	3,061	18.7 %	9,527	58.1 %	1,230	7.5 %	2,589	15.8 %
<b>2006</b>	3,818	19.2 %	11,456	57.5 %	1,596	8.0 %	3,038	15.3 %
<b>2007</b>	4,210	18.2 %	13,348	57.6 %	2,020	8.7 %	3,615	15.6 %
<b>2008</b>	3,679	17.4 %	12,965	61.3 %	1,605	7.6 %	2,896	13.7 %
<b>2009</b>	3,856	18.8 %	12,317	60.1 %	1,472	7.2 %	2,841	13.9 %
<b>2010</b>	3,824	17.6 %	13,723	63.2 %	1,280	5.9 %	2,889	13.3 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>33,518</b>	<b>17.8 %</b>	<b>113,473</b>	<b>60.1 %</b>	<b>13,582</b>	<b>7.2 %</b>	<b>28,241</b>	<b>15.0 %</b>



**ED Visits - County**

**Year: 2000**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>	31,374	93.9 %	2,038	6.1 %
<b>Honolulu</b>	88,811	91.8 %	7,893	8.2 %
<b>Kauai</b>	12,057	95.9 %	516	4.1 %
<b>Maui</b>	14,519	88.4 %	1,897	11.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>146,761</b>	<b>92.2 %</b>	<b>12,344</b>	<b>7.8 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	146,761	92.2 %
Uninsured	12,344	7.8 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>159,105</b>	

**Year: 2001**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>	33,962	93.6 %	2,315	6.4 %
<b>Honolulu</b>	101,003	92.6 %	8,082	7.4 %
<b>Kauai</b>	12,721	96.2 %	509	3.8 %
<b>Maui</b>	15,463	89.1 %	1,889	10.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>163,149</b>	<b>92.7 %</b>	<b>12,795</b>	<b>7.3 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	163,149	92.7 %
Uninsured	12,795	7.3 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>175,944</b>	

**Year: 2002**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>	36,223	94.4 %	2,136	5.6 %
<b>Honolulu</b>	110,730	93.0 %	8,393	7.0 %
<b>Kauai</b>	15,163	93.0 %	1,150	7.0 %
<b>Maui</b>	15,898	88.7 %	2,029	11.3 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>178,014</b>	<b>92.9 %</b>	<b>13,708</b>	<b>7.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	178,014	92.9 %
Uninsured	13,708	7.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>191,722</b>	

**Year: 2003**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>	37,405	94.4 %	2,216	5.6 %
<b>Honolulu</b>	116,548	93.8 %	7,725	6.2 %
<b>Kauai</b>	16,704	93.8 %	1,104	6.2 %
<b>Maui</b>	14,369	86.6 %	2,224	13.4 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>185,026</b>	<b>93.3 %</b>	<b>13,269</b>	<b>6.7 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	185,026	93.3 %
Uninsured	13,269	6.7 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>198,295</b>	



**ED Visits - County**

**Year: 2004**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>	40,003	94.4 %	2,365	5.6 %
<b>Honolulu</b>	132,008	94.3 %	8,044	5.7 %
<b>Kauai</b>	18,271	94.3 %	1,100	5.7 %
<b>Maui</b>	15,427	86.9 %	2,334	13.1 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>205,709</b>	<b>93.7 %</b>	<b>13,843</b>	<b>6.3 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	205,709	93.7 %
Uninsured	13,843	6.3 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>219,552</b>	

**Year: 2005**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>	41,627	93.2 %	3,061	6.8 %
<b>Honolulu</b>	165,249	94.5 %	9,527	5.5 %
<b>Kauai</b>	19,286	94.0 %	1,230	6.0 %
<b>Maui</b>	16,736	86.6 %	2,589	13.4 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>242,898</b>	<b>93.7 %</b>	<b>16,407</b>	<b>6.3 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	242,898	93.7 %
Uninsured	16,407	6.3 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>259,305</b>	

**Year: 2006**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>	45,164	92.2 %	3,818	7.8 %
<b>Honolulu</b>	174,442	93.8 %	11,456	6.2 %
<b>Kauai</b>	19,882	92.6 %	1,596	7.4 %
<b>Maui</b>	19,933	86.8 %	3,038	13.2 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>259,421</b>	<b>92.9 %</b>	<b>19,908</b>	<b>7.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	259,421	92.9 %
Uninsured	19,908	7.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>279,329</b>	

**Year: 2007**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>	47,872	91.9 %	4,210	8.1 %
<b>Honolulu</b>	175,646	92.9 %	13,348	7.1 %
<b>Kauai</b>	21,744	91.5 %	2,020	8.5 %
<b>Maui</b>	24,487	87.1 %	3,615	12.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>269,749</b>	<b>92.1 %</b>	<b>23,193</b>	<b>7.9 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	269,749	92.1 %
Uninsured	23,193	7.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>292,942</b>	



**ED Visits - County**

**Year: 2008**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>	50,617	93.2 %	3,679	6.8 %
<b>Honolulu</b>	185,130	93.5 %	12,965	6.5 %
<b>Kauai</b>	23,775	93.7 %	1,605	6.3 %
<b>Maui</b>	25,280	89.7 %	2,896	10.3 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>284,802</b>	<b>93.1 %</b>	<b>21,145</b>	<b>6.9 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	284,802	93.1 %
Uninsured	21,145	6.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>305,947</b>	

**Year: 2009**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>	56,504	93.6 %	3,856	6.4 %
<b>Honolulu</b>	200,749	94.2 %	12,317	5.8 %
<b>Kauai</b>	24,993	94.4 %	1,472	5.6 %
<b>Maui</b>	27,921	90.8 %	2,841	9.2 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>310,167</b>	<b>93.8 %</b>	<b>20,486</b>	<b>6.2 %</b>


<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	310,167	93.8 %
Uninsured	20,486	6.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>330,653</b>	

**Year: 2010**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hawaii</b>	53,222	93.3 %	3,824	6.7 %
<b>Honolulu</b>	201,759	93.6 %	13,723	6.4 %
<b>Kauai</b>	24,785	95.1 %	1,280	4.9 %
<b>Maui</b>	27,342	90.4 %	2,889	9.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>307,108</b>	<b>93.4 %</b>	<b>21,716</b>	<b>6.6 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	307,108	93.4 %
Uninsured	21,716	6.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>328,824</b>	

**Uninsured ED Visits - Age Group**




**Uninsured ED Visits - Age Group**

	2000	Percent	2001	Percent	2002	Percent	2003	Percent	2004	Percent	2005	Percent
<b>Under 1</b>	264	2.1 %	243	1.9 %	239	1.7 %	246	1.9 %	224	1.6 %	262	1.6 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	751	6.1 %	655	5.1 %	663	4.8 %	648	4.9 %	678	4.9 %	618	3.8 %
<b>6 -12</b>	523	4.2 %	445	3.5 %	491	3.6 %	409	3.1 %	448	3.2 %	387	2.4 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	881	7.1 %	767	6.0 %	769	5.6 %	726	5.5 %	734	5.3 %	784	4.8 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	885	7.2 %	954	7.5 %	953	7.0 %	1,004	7.6 %	1,034	7.5 %	1,207	7.4 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	3,448	27.9 %	3,719	29.1 %	3,906	28.5 %	3,877	29.2 %	4,120	29.8 %	5,072	30.9 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,417	19.6 %	2,600	20.3 %	2,652	19.3 %	2,467	18.6 %	2,504	18.1 %	3,027	18.4 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	1,978	16.0 %	2,101	16.4 %	2,451	17.9 %	2,285	17.2 %	2,442	17.6 %	2,950	18.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	549	4.4 %	584	4.6 %	740	5.4 %	690	5.2 %	746	5.4 %	933	5.7 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	491	4.0 %	556	4.3 %	649	4.7 %	721	5.4 %	703	5.1 %	923	5.6 %
<b>65+</b>	157	1.3 %	171	1.3 %	195	1.4 %	196	1.5 %	210	1.5 %	244	1.5 %
	<b>12,344</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	<b>12,795</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	<b>13,708</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	<b>13,269</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	<b>13,843</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	<b>16,407</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>

	2006	Percent	2007	Percent	2008	Percent	2009	Percent	2010	Percent
<b>Under 1</b>	348	1.7 %	454	2.0 %	506	2.4 %	441	2.2 %	480	2.2 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	766	3.8 %	1,092	4.7 %	921	4.4 %	829	4.0 %	856	3.9 %
<b>6 -12</b>	534	2.7 %	707	3.0 %	583	2.8 %	549	2.7 %	514	2.4 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	983	4.9 %	1,201	5.2 %	1,113	5.3 %	1,035	5.1 %	1,007	4.6 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,408	7.1 %	1,667	7.2 %	1,560	7.4 %	1,502	7.3 %	1,489	6.9 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	6,146	30.9 %	7,096	30.6 %	6,560	31.0 %	6,471	31.6 %	6,884	31.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	3,554	17.9 %	4,014	17.3 %	3,505	16.6 %	3,358	16.4 %	3,644	16.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	3,495	17.6 %	3,913	16.9 %	3,416	16.2 %	3,308	16.1 %	3,454	15.9 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,210	6.1 %	1,325	5.7 %	1,194	5.6 %	1,250	6.1 %	1,369	6.3 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,116	5.6 %	1,361	5.9 %	1,401	6.6 %	1,327	6.5 %	1,627	7.5 %
<b>65+</b>	348	1.7 %	363	1.6 %	386	1.8 %	416	2.0 %	392	1.8 %
	<b>19,908</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	<b>23,193</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	<b>21,145</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	<b>20,486</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	<b>21,716</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>



**ED Visits - Age Group**

**Year: 2000**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	5,059	95.0 %	264	5.0 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	16,742	95.7 %	751	4.3 %
<b>6 -12</b>	11,140	95.5 %	523	4.5 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	10,759	92.4 %	881	7.6 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	3,890	81.5 %	885	18.5 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	20,267	85.5 %	3,448	14.5 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	19,286	88.9 %	2,417	11.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	20,810	91.3 %	1,978	8.7 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	7,887	93.5 %	549	6.5 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	10,053	95.3 %	491	4.7 %
<b>65+</b>	20,868	99.3 %	157	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>146,761</b>	<b>92.2 %</b>	<b>12,344</b>	<b>7.8 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	146,761	92.2 %
Uninsured	12,344	7.8 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>159,105</b>	

**Year: 2001**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	5,683	95.9 %	243	4.1 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	17,897	96.5 %	655	3.5 %
<b>6 -12</b>	12,342	96.5 %	445	3.5 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	12,118	94.0 %	767	6.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	4,478	82.4 %	954	17.6 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	22,072	85.6 %	3,719	14.4 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	20,835	88.9 %	2,600	11.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	23,026	91.6 %	2,101	8.4 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	9,068	93.9 %	584	6.1 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	11,765	95.5 %	556	4.5 %
<b>65+</b>	23,865	99.3 %	171	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>163,149</b>	<b>92.7 %</b>	<b>12,795</b>	<b>7.3 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	163,149	92.7 %
Uninsured	12,795	7.3 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>175,944</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group**

**Year: 2002**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	6,357	96.4 %	239	3.6 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	19,321	96.7 %	663	3.3 %
<b>6 -12</b>	13,518	96.5 %	491	3.5 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	12,991	94.4 %	769	5.6 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	4,815	83.5 %	953	16.5 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	24,335	86.2 %	3,906	13.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	22,316	89.4 %	2,652	10.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	24,797	91.0 %	2,451	9.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	10,159	93.2 %	740	6.8 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	13,451	95.4 %	649	4.6 %
<b>65+</b>	25,954	99.3 %	195	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>178,014</b>	<b>92.9 %</b>	<b>13,708</b>	<b>7.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	178,014	92.9 %
Uninsured	13,708	7.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>191,722</b>	

**Year: 2003**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	6,701	96.5 %	246	3.5 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	20,395	96.9 %	648	3.1 %
<b>6 -12</b>	13,344	97.0 %	409	3.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	13,815	95.0 %	726	5.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	5,323	84.1 %	1,004	15.9 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	25,718	86.9 %	3,877	13.1 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	22,227	90.0 %	2,467	10.0 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	25,944	91.9 %	2,285	8.1 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	10,461	93.8 %	690	6.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	14,320	95.2 %	721	4.8 %
<b>65+</b>	26,778	99.3 %	196	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>185,026</b>	<b>93.3 %</b>	<b>13,269</b>	<b>6.7 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	185,026	93.3 %
Uninsured	13,269	6.7 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>198,295</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group**

**Year: 2004**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	6,825	96.8 %	224	3.2 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	21,360	96.9 %	678	3.1 %
<b>6 -12</b>	13,924	96.9 %	448	3.1 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	15,067	95.4 %	734	4.6 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	5,529	84.2 %	1,034	15.8 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	29,052	87.6 %	4,120	12.4 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	24,773	90.8 %	2,504	9.2 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	29,279	92.3 %	2,442	7.7 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	12,286	94.3 %	746	5.7 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	16,988	96.0 %	703	4.0 %
<b>65+</b>	30,626	99.3 %	210	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>205,709</b>	<b>93.7 %</b>	<b>13,843</b>	<b>6.3 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	205,709	93.7 %
Uninsured	13,843	6.3 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>219,552</b>	

**Year: 2005**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	7,478	96.6 %	262	3.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	23,191	97.4 %	618	2.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	15,070	97.5 %	387	2.5 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	17,341	95.7 %	784	4.3 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	6,561	84.5 %	1,207	15.5 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	34,976	87.3 %	5,072	12.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	28,637	90.4 %	3,027	9.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	33,934	92.0 %	2,950	8.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	15,217	94.2 %	933	5.8 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	21,679	95.9 %	923	4.1 %
<b>65+</b>	38,814	99.4 %	244	0.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>242,898</b>	<b>93.7 %</b>	<b>16,407</b>	<b>6.3 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	242,898	93.7 %
Uninsured	16,407	6.3 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>259,305</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group**

**Year: 2006**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	7,824	95.7 %	348	4.3 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	24,520	97.0 %	766	3.0 %
<b>6 -12</b>	15,813	96.7 %	534	3.3 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	18,572	95.0 %	983	5.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	7,040	83.3 %	1,408	16.7 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	36,955	85.7 %	6,146	14.3 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	30,488	89.6 %	3,554	10.4 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	35,957	91.1 %	3,495	8.9 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	16,236	93.1 %	1,210	6.9 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	24,876	95.7 %	1,116	4.3 %
<b>65+</b>	41,140	99.2 %	348	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>259,421</b>	<b>92.9 %</b>	<b>19,908</b>	<b>7.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	259,421	92.9 %
Uninsured	19,908	7.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>279,329</b>	

**Year: 2007**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	8,001	94.6 %	454	5.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	25,014	95.8 %	1,092	4.2 %
<b>6 -12</b>	15,399	95.6 %	707	4.4 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	18,637	93.9 %	1,201	6.1 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	6,988	80.7 %	1,667	19.3 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	39,051	84.6 %	7,096	15.4 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	31,701	88.8 %	4,014	11.2 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	37,379	90.5 %	3,913	9.5 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	17,595	93.0 %	1,325	7.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	26,557	95.1 %	1,361	4.9 %
<b>65+</b>	43,427	99.2 %	363	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>269,749</b>	<b>92.1 %</b>	<b>23,193</b>	<b>7.9 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	269,749	92.1 %
Uninsured	23,193	7.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>292,942</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group**

**Year: 2008**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	9,268	94.8 %	506	5.2 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	27,429	96.8 %	921	3.2 %
<b>6 -12</b>	16,845	96.7 %	583	3.3 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	19,441	94.6 %	1,113	5.4 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	7,577	82.9 %	1,560	17.1 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	41,891	86.5 %	6,560	13.5 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	32,452	90.3 %	3,505	9.7 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	38,057	91.8 %	3,416	8.2 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	18,441	93.9 %	1,194	6.1 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	27,960	95.2 %	1,401	4.8 %
<b>65+</b>	45,441	99.2 %	386	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>284,802</b>	<b>93.1 %</b>	<b>21,145</b>	<b>6.9 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	284,802	93.1 %
Uninsured	21,145	6.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>305,947</b>	

**Year: 2009**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	10,021	95.8 %	441	4.2 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	31,241	97.4 %	829	2.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	19,293	97.2 %	549	2.8 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	21,614	95.4 %	1,035	4.6 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	8,829	85.5 %	1,502	14.5 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	46,017	87.7 %	6,471	12.3 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	34,565	91.1 %	3,358	8.9 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	40,295	92.4 %	3,308	7.6 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	19,839	94.1 %	1,250	5.9 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	30,476	95.8 %	1,327	4.2 %
<b>65+</b>	47,977	99.1 %	416	0.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>310,167</b>	<b>93.8 %</b>	<b>20,486</b>	<b>6.2 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	310,167	93.8 %
Uninsured	20,486	6.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>330,653</b>	




**ED Visits - Age Group**

**Year: 2010**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	9,455	95.2 %	480	4.8 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	30,426	97.3 %	856	2.7 %
<b>6 -12</b>	17,506	97.1 %	514	2.9 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	19,578	95.1 %	1,007	4.9 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	8,566	85.2 %	1,489	14.8 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	46,306	87.1 %	6,884	12.9 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	34,162	90.4 %	3,644	9.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	39,731	92.0 %	3,454	8.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	20,645	93.8 %	1,369	6.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	31,272	95.1 %	1,627	4.9 %
<b>65+</b>	49,461	99.2 %	392	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>307,108</b>	<b>93.4 %</b>	<b>21,716</b>	<b>6.6 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	307,108	93.4 %
Uninsured	21,716	6.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>328,824</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Hawaii**

**Year: 2000**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	1,024	96.3 %	39	3.7 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	3,231	96.9 %	103	3.1 %
<b>6 -12</b>	2,371	96.7 %	81	3.3 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	2,561	94.1 %	160	5.9 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	993	85.9 %	163	14.1 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	4,432	89.1 %	542	10.9 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	3,839	91.4 %	362	8.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	5,048	93.4 %	357	6.6 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,806	95.5 %	85	4.5 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	2,100	95.2 %	106	4.8 %
<b>65+</b>	3,969	99.0 %	40	1.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>31,374</b>	<b>93.9 %</b>	<b>2,038</b>	<b>6.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	31,374	93.9 %
Uninsured	2,038	6.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>33,412</b>	

**Year: 2001**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	1,076	96.5 %	39	3.5 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	3,241	97.0 %	99	3.0 %
<b>6 -12</b>	2,529	96.9 %	82	3.1 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	2,746	94.8 %	151	5.2 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,103	86.4 %	174	13.6 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	4,662	88.2 %	621	11.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	4,135	91.2 %	399	8.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	5,443	92.1 %	467	7.9 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	2,093	95.3 %	104	4.7 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	2,418	94.5 %	141	5.5 %
<b>65+</b>	4,516	99.2 %	38	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>33,962</b>	<b>93.6 %</b>	<b>2,315</b>	<b>6.4 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	33,962	93.6 %
Uninsured	2,315	6.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>36,277</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2002**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	1,143	98.1 %	22	1.9 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	3,402	97.1 %	100	2.9 %
<b>6 -12</b>	2,674	97.0 %	82	3.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	2,940	95.9 %	126	4.1 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,141	88.2 %	152	11.8 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	5,174	90.0 %	574	10.0 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	4,235	92.2 %	358	7.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	5,689	93.2 %	413	6.8 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	2,281	94.5 %	133	5.5 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	2,751	95.4 %	133	4.6 %
<b>65+</b>	4,793	99.1 %	43	0.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>36,223</b>	<b>94.4 %</b>	<b>2,136</b>	<b>5.6 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	36,223	94.4 %
Uninsured	2,136	5.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>38,359</b>	

**Year: 2003**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	1,163	98.2 %	21	1.8 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	3,488	97.3 %	96	2.7 %
<b>6 -12</b>	2,602	97.2 %	74	2.8 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	3,050	95.9 %	131	4.1 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,117	87.3 %	163	12.7 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	5,601	90.4 %	594	9.6 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	4,248	92.2 %	360	7.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	5,669	92.9 %	432	7.1 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	2,508	94.5 %	146	5.5 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	3,198	95.6 %	147	4.4 %
<b>65+</b>	4,761	98.9 %	52	1.1 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>37,405</b>	<b>94.4 %</b>	<b>2,216</b>	<b>5.6 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	37,405	94.4 %
Uninsured	2,216	5.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>39,621</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2004**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	1,181	97.8 %	27	2.2 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	3,620	97.2 %	103	2.8 %
<b>6 -12</b>	2,428	97.4 %	65	2.6 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	3,171	96.2 %	125	3.8 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,223	86.4 %	193	13.6 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	6,145	90.4 %	651	9.6 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	4,687	91.8 %	417	8.2 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	6,116	93.7 %	411	6.3 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	2,676	94.3 %	161	5.7 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	3,594	95.8 %	156	4.2 %
<b>65+</b>	5,162	98.9 %	56	1.1 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>40,003</b>	<b>94.4 %</b>	<b>2,365</b>	<b>5.6 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	40,003	94.4 %
Uninsured	2,365	5.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>42,368</b>	

**Year: 2005**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	1,231	97.7 %	29	2.3 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	3,602	97.6 %	90	2.4 %
<b>6 -12</b>	2,537	97.3 %	70	2.7 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	3,540	96.0 %	148	4.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,291	85.0 %	227	15.0 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	6,313	87.2 %	929	12.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	4,735	89.9 %	532	10.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	6,110	92.1 %	526	7.9 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	2,858	93.0 %	214	7.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	3,866	94.0 %	248	6.0 %
<b>65+</b>	5,544	99.1 %	48	0.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>41,627</b>	<b>93.2 %</b>	<b>3,061</b>	<b>6.8 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	41,627	93.2 %
Uninsured	3,061	6.8 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>44,688</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2006**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	1,240	94.4 %	73	5.6 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	3,983	96.8 %	133	3.2 %
<b>6 -12</b>	2,794	96.8 %	92	3.2 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	3,674	95.1 %	191	4.9 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,319	84.0 %	251	16.0 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	6,829	85.3 %	1,179	14.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	5,281	89.4 %	629	10.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	6,375	90.7 %	650	9.3 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	3,018	91.8 %	271	8.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	4,580	94.3 %	276	5.7 %
<b>65+</b>	6,071	98.8 %	73	1.2 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>45,164</b>	<b>92.2 %</b>	<b>3,818</b>	<b>7.8 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	45,164	92.2 %
Uninsured	3,818	7.8 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>48,982</b>	

**Year: 2007**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	1,348	95.7 %	61	4.3 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	4,022	96.1 %	165	3.9 %
<b>6 -12</b>	2,836	95.7 %	126	4.3 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	3,841	94.2 %	238	5.8 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,405	82.2 %	305	17.8 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	7,401	85.6 %	1,250	14.4 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	5,747	88.0 %	781	12.0 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	6,586	90.5 %	690	9.5 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	3,394	93.0 %	256	7.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	4,900	94.4 %	288	5.6 %
<b>65+</b>	6,392	99.2 %	50	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>47,872</b>	<b>91.9 %</b>	<b>4,210</b>	<b>8.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	47,872	91.9 %
Uninsured	4,210	8.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>52,082</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2008**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	1,524	94.8 %	83	5.2 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	4,514	97.4 %	120	2.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	3,142	96.2 %	124	3.8 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	3,935	95.2 %	200	4.8 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,459	83.7 %	284	16.3 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	7,644	87.4 %	1,106	12.6 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	5,869	90.3 %	633	9.7 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	6,596	92.0 %	574	8.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	3,518	94.0 %	225	6.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	5,314	95.0 %	279	5.0 %
<b>65+</b>	7,102	99.3 %	51	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>50,617</b>	<b>93.2 %</b>	<b>3,679</b>	<b>6.8 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	50,617	93.2 %
Uninsured	3,679	6.8 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>54,296</b>	

**Year: 2009**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	1,792	95.7 %	81	4.3 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	5,242	97.8 %	120	2.2 %
<b>6 -12</b>	3,460	96.6 %	122	3.4 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	4,366	95.7 %	196	4.3 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,785	87.2 %	261	12.8 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	8,570	88.6 %	1,100	11.4 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	6,376	90.7 %	653	9.3 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	7,090	91.4 %	664	8.6 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	3,805	93.1 %	280	6.9 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	6,211	95.3 %	307	4.7 %
<b>65+</b>	7,807	99.1 %	72	0.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>56,504</b>	<b>93.6 %</b>	<b>3,856</b>	<b>6.4 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	56,504	93.6 %
Uninsured	3,856	6.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>60,360</b>	




**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2010**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	1,618	94.0 %	104	6.0 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	4,817	96.9 %	153	3.1 %
<b>6 - 12</b>	2,980	97.3 %	82	2.7 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	3,752	95.7 %	170	4.3 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,695	86.3 %	268	13.7 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	7,954	87.6 %	1,127	12.4 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	5,964	90.3 %	639	9.7 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	6,581	91.5 %	610	8.5 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	3,769	93.6 %	259	6.4 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	6,096	94.6 %	348	5.4 %
<b>65+</b>	7,996	99.2 %	64	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>53,222</b>	<b>93.3 %</b>	<b>3,824</b>	<b>6.7 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	53,222	93.3 %
Uninsured	3,824	6.7 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>57,046</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Honolulu**

**Year: 2000**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	3,164	94.6 %	182	5.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	10,595	95.5 %	494	4.5 %
<b>6 -12</b>	6,696	95.3 %	333	4.7 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	6,169	92.0 %	539	8.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,270	80.3 %	556	19.7 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	12,349	84.4 %	2,281	15.6 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	11,770	88.2 %	1,570	11.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	11,858	90.6 %	1,225	9.4 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	4,517	93.4 %	320	6.6 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	5,993	95.3 %	293	4.7 %
<b>65+</b>	13,430	99.3 %	100	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>88,811</b>	<b>91.8 %</b>	<b>7,893</b>	<b>8.2 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	88,811	91.8 %
Uninsured	7,893	8.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>96,704</b>	

**Year: 2001**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	3,718	95.5 %	175	4.5 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	11,767	96.5 %	427	3.5 %
<b>6 -12</b>	7,563	96.6 %	267	3.4 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	7,186	93.7 %	480	6.3 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,610	80.6 %	628	19.4 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	13,796	85.3 %	2,378	14.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	12,925	88.3 %	1,711	11.7 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	13,525	91.6 %	1,244	8.4 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	5,224	93.8 %	343	6.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	7,053	95.7 %	316	4.3 %
<b>65+</b>	15,636	99.3 %	113	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>101,003</b>	<b>92.6 %</b>	<b>8,082</b>	<b>7.4 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	101,003	92.6 %
Uninsured	8,082	7.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>109,085</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2002**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	4,273	96.3 %	163	3.7 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	12,782	96.9 %	415	3.1 %
<b>6 -12</b>	8,303	96.7 %	286	3.3 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	7,781	94.5 %	456	5.5 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,927	83.5 %	579	16.5 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	15,346	86.2 %	2,463	13.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	14,054	89.2 %	1,693	10.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	14,426	91.1 %	1,414	8.9 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	5,816	93.2 %	422	6.8 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	7,961	95.5 %	378	4.5 %
<b>65+</b>	17,061	99.3 %	124	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>110,730</b>	<b>93.0 %</b>	<b>8,393</b>	<b>7.0 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	110,730	93.0 %
Uninsured	8,393	7.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>119,123</b>	

**Year: 2003**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	4,565	96.6 %	159	3.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	13,620	97.4 %	362	2.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	8,499	97.3 %	234	2.7 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	8,368	95.4 %	400	4.6 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	3,376	85.0 %	595	15.0 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	16,058	87.3 %	2,341	12.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	13,911	90.4 %	1,473	9.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	15,615	92.4 %	1,286	7.6 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	6,028	94.5 %	352	5.5 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	8,512	95.5 %	403	4.5 %
<b>65+</b>	17,996	99.3 %	120	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>116,548</b>	<b>93.8 %</b>	<b>7,725</b>	<b>6.2 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	116,548	93.8 %
Uninsured	7,725	6.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>124,273</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2004**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	4,554	97.0 %	142	3.0 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	14,324	97.4 %	386	2.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	8,975	97.3 %	253	2.7 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	9,192	95.5 %	432	4.5 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	3,426	85.1 %	601	14.9 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	18,359	88.1 %	2,489	11.9 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	15,835	91.8 %	1,406	8.2 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	18,286	92.9 %	1,396	7.1 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	7,428	95.0 %	393	5.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	10,462	96.1 %	419	3.9 %
<b>65+</b>	21,167	99.4 %	127	0.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>132,008</b>	<b>94.3 %</b>	<b>8,044</b>	<b>5.7 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	132,008	94.3 %
Uninsured	8,044	5.7 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>140,052</b>	

**Year: 2005**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	5,159	96.5 %	186	3.5 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	15,895	97.7 %	366	2.3 %
<b>6 -12</b>	10,086	97.9 %	219	2.1 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	11,072	96.1 %	450	3.9 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	4,340	85.8 %	720	14.2 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	23,752	88.7 %	3,012	11.3 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	19,534	91.8 %	1,742	8.2 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	22,621	93.0 %	1,714	7.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	9,937	95.2 %	498	4.8 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	14,560	96.9 %	467	3.1 %
<b>65+</b>	28,293	99.5 %	153	0.5 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>165,249</b>	<b>94.5 %</b>	<b>9,527</b>	<b>5.5 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	165,249	94.5 %
Uninsured	9,527	5.5 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>174,776</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2006**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	5,456	96.0 %	229	4.0 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	16,838	97.4 %	455	2.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	10,459	97.2 %	306	2.8 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	11,924	95.5 %	565	4.5 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	4,573	84.3 %	850	15.7 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	24,741	87.3 %	3,583	12.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	20,339	91.1 %	1,975	8.9 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	23,737	92.3 %	1,988	7.7 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	10,504	94.1 %	654	5.9 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	16,471	96.4 %	621	3.6 %
<b>65+</b>	29,400	99.2 %	230	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>174,442</b>	<b>93.8 %</b>	<b>11,456</b>	<b>6.2 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	174,442	93.8 %
Uninsured	11,456	6.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>185,898</b>	

**Year: 2007**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	5,259	94.4 %	312	5.6 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	16,623	96.0 %	686	4.0 %
<b>6 -12</b>	9,782	96.0 %	408	4.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	11,431	94.3 %	687	5.7 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	4,366	81.5 %	990	18.5 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	25,213	86.1 %	4,062	13.9 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	20,573	90.0 %	2,285	10.0 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	24,148	91.6 %	2,219	8.4 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	11,099	93.7 %	742	6.3 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	16,922	95.8 %	737	4.2 %
<b>65+</b>	30,230	99.3 %	220	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>175,646</b>	<b>92.9 %</b>	<b>13,348</b>	<b>7.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	175,646	92.9 %
Uninsured	13,348	7.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>188,994</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2008**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	6,294	95.0 %	333	5.0 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	18,263	96.8 %	605	3.2 %
<b>6 -12</b>	10,671	97.0 %	328	3.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	11,983	94.3 %	723	5.7 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	4,804	82.8 %	1,000	17.2 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	27,150	86.8 %	4,112	13.2 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	20,809	90.8 %	2,111	9.2 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	24,638	92.5 %	2,009	7.5 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	11,479	94.4 %	681	5.6 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	17,601	95.6 %	802	4.4 %
<b>65+</b>	31,438	99.2 %	261	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>185,130</b>	<b>93.5 %</b>	<b>12,965</b>	<b>6.5 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	185,130	93.5 %
Uninsured	12,965	6.5 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>198,095</b>	

**Year: 2009**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	6,578	95.6 %	300	4.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	20,624	97.5 %	528	2.5 %
<b>6 -12</b>	12,292	97.5 %	311	2.5 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	13,515	95.5 %	636	4.5 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	5,576	85.0 %	982	15.0 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	29,651	88.0 %	4,033	12.0 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	22,362	92.1 %	1,913	7.9 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	25,926	93.1 %	1,932	6.9 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	12,466	94.9 %	667	5.1 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	18,819	96.2 %	740	3.8 %
<b>65+</b>	32,940	99.2 %	275	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>200,749</b>	<b>94.2 %</b>	<b>12,317</b>	<b>5.8 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	200,749	94.2 %
Uninsured	12,317	5.8 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>213,066</b>	




**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2010**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	6,405	95.3 %	319	4.7 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	20,752	97.4 %	560	2.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	11,304	97.3 %	316	2.7 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	12,410	94.9 %	663	5.1 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	5,479	84.7 %	993	15.3 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	30,744	87.1 %	4,566	12.9 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	22,376	91.0 %	2,224	9.0 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	26,025	92.5 %	2,114	7.5 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	13,119	94.2 %	809	5.8 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	19,394	95.5 %	911	4.5 %
<b>65+</b>	33,751	99.3 %	248	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>201,759</b>	<b>93.6 %</b>	<b>13,723</b>	<b>6.4 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	201,759	93.6 %
Uninsured	13,723	6.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>215,482</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Kauai**

**Year: 2000**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	322	98.2 %	6	1.8 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,261	96.5 %	46	3.5 %
<b>6 -12</b>	947	96.5 %	34	3.5 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	923	95.4 %	44	4.6 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	264	88.6 %	34	11.4 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	1,501	93.3 %	107	6.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	1,682	94.4 %	99	5.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	1,786	96.0 %	75	4.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	739	95.1 %	38	4.9 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	961	97.6 %	24	2.4 %
<b>65+</b>	1,671	99.5 %	9	0.5 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>12,057</b>	<b>95.9 %</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>4.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	12,057	95.9 %
Uninsured	516	4.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>12,573</b>	

**Year: 2001**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	302	97.7 %	7	2.3 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,241	97.7 %	29	2.3 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,064	97.4 %	28	2.6 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,014	96.8 %	33	3.2 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	312	95.7 %	14	4.3 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	1,621	92.3 %	135	7.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	1,709	93.7 %	115	6.3 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	1,804	95.7 %	82	4.3 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	825	95.9 %	35	4.1 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,038	97.7 %	24	2.3 %
<b>65+</b>	1,791	99.6 %	7	0.4 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>12,721</b>	<b>96.2 %</b>	<b>509</b>	<b>3.8 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	12,721	96.2 %
Uninsured	509	3.8 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>13,230</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2002**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	406	95.8 %	18	4.2 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,468	96.6 %	52	3.4 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,246	96.3 %	48	3.7 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,104	94.0 %	70	6.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	352	80.2 %	87	19.8 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	1,731	84.8 %	310	15.2 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	1,995	91.3 %	189	8.7 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2,244	90.2 %	245	9.8 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,064	93.3 %	76	6.7 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,393	96.7 %	47	3.3 %
<b>65+</b>	2,160	99.6 %	8	0.4 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>15,163</b>	<b>93.0 %</b>	<b>1,150</b>	<b>7.0 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	15,163	93.0 %
Uninsured	1,150	7.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>16,313</b>	

**Year: 2003**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	461	96.6 %	16	3.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,741	96.8 %	58	3.2 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,337	95.8 %	58	4.2 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,311	95.5 %	62	4.5 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	427	82.6 %	90	17.4 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	2,022	86.6 %	312	13.4 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,151	92.2 %	182	7.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2,413	92.2 %	204	7.8 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,100	94.7 %	61	5.3 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,400	96.3 %	54	3.7 %
<b>65+</b>	2,341	99.7 %	7	0.3 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>16,704</b>	<b>93.8 %</b>	<b>1,104</b>	<b>6.2 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	16,704	93.8 %
Uninsured	1,104	6.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>17,808</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2004**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	599	98.5 %	9	1.5 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,892	97.3 %	52	2.7 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,477	97.5 %	38	2.5 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,476	96.2 %	58	3.8 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	432	82.4 %	92	17.6 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	2,270	88.1 %	308	11.9 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,232	91.1 %	217	8.9 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2,523	92.7 %	198	7.3 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,232	94.9 %	66	5.1 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,645	96.8 %	55	3.2 %
<b>65+</b>	2,493	99.7 %	7	0.3 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>18,271</b>	<b>94.3 %</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>5.7 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	18,271	94.3 %
Uninsured	1,100	5.7 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>19,371</b>	

**Year: 2005**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	516	97.9 %	11	2.1 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	2,029	97.6 %	50	2.4 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,442	98.0 %	30	2.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,471	95.8 %	65	4.2 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	493	82.3 %	106	17.7 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	2,440	86.9 %	368	13.1 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,371	91.1 %	232	8.9 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2,606	92.6 %	208	7.4 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,274	94.4 %	76	5.6 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,701	95.8 %	75	4.2 %
<b>65+</b>	2,943	99.7 %	9	0.3 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>19,286</b>	<b>94.0 %</b>	<b>1,230</b>	<b>6.0 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	19,286	94.0 %
Uninsured	1,230	6.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>20,516</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2006**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	511	97.7 %	12	2.3 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,924	96.3 %	73	3.7 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,430	96.4 %	53	3.6 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,617	96.0 %	67	4.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	520	83.3 %	104	16.7 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	2,388	83.3 %	478	16.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,393	88.9 %	300	11.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2,778	90.2 %	302	9.8 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,317	92.1 %	113	7.9 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,891	96.0 %	78	4.0 %
<b>65+</b>	3,113	99.5 %	16	0.5 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>19,882</b>	<b>92.6 %</b>	<b>1,596</b>	<b>7.4 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	19,882	92.6 %
Uninsured	1,596	7.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>21,478</b>	

**Year: 2007**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	618	95.4 %	30	4.6 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	2,016	96.4 %	75	3.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,402	95.2 %	70	4.8 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,707	94.9 %	91	5.1 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	590	79.3 %	154	20.7 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	2,875	81.2 %	664	18.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,507	88.2 %	336	11.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	3,101	89.7 %	357	10.3 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,405	92.6 %	112	7.4 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	2,132	95.4 %	102	4.6 %
<b>65+</b>	3,391	99.2 %	29	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>21,744</b>	<b>91.5 %</b>	<b>2,020</b>	<b>8.5 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	21,744	91.5 %
Uninsured	2,020	8.5 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>23,764</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2008**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	642	96.1 %	26	3.9 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	2,263	97.4 %	60	2.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,533	97.0 %	47	3.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,801	97.4 %	49	2.6 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	650	84.9 %	116	15.1 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	3,375	87.2 %	497	12.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,740	91.3 %	261	8.7 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	3,255	91.5 %	304	8.5 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,641	92.8 %	127	7.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	2,337	96.7 %	81	3.3 %
<b>65+</b>	3,538	99.0 %	37	1.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>23,775</b>	<b>93.7 %</b>	<b>1,605</b>	<b>6.3 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	23,775	93.7 %
Uninsured	1,605	6.3 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>25,380</b>	

**Year: 2009**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	708	97.9 %	15	2.1 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	2,411	98.1 %	46	1.9 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,708	98.2 %	32	1.8 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,944	96.3 %	75	3.7 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	705	88.5 %	92	11.5 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	3,576	87.9 %	491	12.1 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,780	91.6 %	255	8.4 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	3,348	92.9 %	255	7.1 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,601	93.7 %	108	6.3 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	2,528	96.9 %	80	3.1 %
<b>65+</b>	3,684	99.4 %	23	0.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>24,993</b>	<b>94.4 %</b>	<b>1,472</b>	<b>5.6 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	24,993	94.4 %
Uninsured	1,472	5.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>26,465</b>	




**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2010**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	620	97.8 %	14	2.2 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	2,201	98.7 %	28	1.3 %
<b>6 - 12</b>	1,587	98.1 %	30	1.9 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,636	96.9 %	53	3.1 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	700	91.0 %	69	9.0 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	3,643	90.1 %	399	9.9 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,854	92.3 %	239	7.7 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	3,360	94.0 %	213	6.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,684	94.6 %	97	5.4 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	2,636	95.9 %	114	4.1 %
<b>65+</b>	3,864	99.4 %	24	0.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>24,785</b>	<b>95.1 %</b>	<b>1,280</b>	<b>4.9 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	24,785	95.1 %
Uninsured	1,280	4.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>26,065</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Maui**

**Year: 2000**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	549	93.7 %	37	6.3 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,655	93.9 %	108	6.1 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,126	93.8 %	75	6.2 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,106	88.9 %	138	11.1 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	363	73.3 %	132	26.7 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	1,985	79.3 %	518	20.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	1,995	83.8 %	386	16.2 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2,118	86.8 %	321	13.2 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	825	88.6 %	106	11.4 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	999	93.6 %	68	6.4 %
<b>65+</b>	1,798	99.6 %	8	0.4 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>14,519</b>	<b>88.4 %</b>	<b>1,897</b>	<b>11.6 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	14,519	88.4 %
Uninsured	1,897	11.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>16,416</b>	

**Year: 2001**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	587	96.4 %	22	3.6 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,648	94.3 %	100	5.7 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,186	94.6 %	68	5.4 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,172	91.9 %	103	8.1 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	453	76.6 %	138	23.4 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	1,993	77.3 %	585	22.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,066	84.6 %	375	15.4 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2,254	88.0 %	308	12.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	926	90.1 %	102	9.9 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,256	94.4 %	75	5.6 %
<b>65+</b>	1,922	99.3 %	13	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>15,463</b>	<b>89.1 %</b>	<b>1,889</b>	<b>10.9 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	15,463	89.1 %
Uninsured	1,889	10.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>17,352</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2002**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	535	93.7 %	36	6.3 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,669	94.6 %	96	5.4 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,295	94.5 %	75	5.5 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,166	90.9 %	117	9.1 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	395	74.5 %	135	25.5 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	2,084	78.8 %	559	21.2 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,032	83.1 %	412	16.9 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2,438	86.5 %	379	13.5 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	998	90.2 %	109	9.8 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,346	93.7 %	91	6.3 %
<b>65+</b>	1,940	99.0 %	20	1.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>15,898</b>	<b>88.7 %</b>	<b>2,029</b>	<b>11.3 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	15,898	88.7 %
Uninsured	2,029	11.3 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>17,927</b>	

**Year: 2003**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	512	91.1 %	50	8.9 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,546	92.1 %	132	7.9 %
<b>6 -12</b>	906	95.5 %	43	4.5 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,086	89.1 %	133	10.9 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	403	72.1 %	156	27.9 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	2,037	76.4 %	630	23.6 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	1,917	80.9 %	452	19.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2,247	86.1 %	363	13.9 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	825	86.3 %	131	13.7 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,210	91.2 %	117	8.8 %
<b>65+</b>	1,680	99.0 %	17	1.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>14,369</b>	<b>86.6 %</b>	<b>2,224</b>	<b>13.4 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	14,369	86.6 %
Uninsured	2,224	13.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>16,593</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2004**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	491	91.4 %	46	8.6 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,524	91.8 %	137	8.2 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,044	91.9 %	92	8.1 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,228	91.2 %	119	8.8 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	448	75.2 %	148	24.8 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	2,278	77.2 %	672	22.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,019	81.3 %	464	18.7 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2,354	84.3 %	437	15.7 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	950	88.3 %	126	11.7 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,287	94.6 %	73	5.4 %
<b>65+</b>	1,804	98.9 %	20	1.1 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>15,427</b>	<b>86.9 %</b>	<b>2,334</b>	<b>13.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	15,427	86.9 %
Uninsured	2,334	13.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>17,761</b>	

**Year: 2005**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	572	94.1 %	36	5.9 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,665	93.7 %	112	6.3 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,005	93.7 %	68	6.3 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,258	91.2 %	121	8.8 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	437	73.9 %	154	26.1 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	2,471	76.4 %	763	23.6 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	1,997	79.3 %	521	20.7 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2,597	83.8 %	502	16.2 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,148	88.8 %	145	11.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,552	92.1 %	133	7.9 %
<b>65+</b>	2,034	98.4 %	34	1.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>16,736</b>	<b>86.6 %</b>	<b>2,589</b>	<b>13.4 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	16,736	86.6 %
Uninsured	2,589	13.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>19,325</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2006**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	617	94.8 %	34	5.2 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1,775	94.4 %	105	5.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,130	93.2 %	83	6.8 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,357	89.5 %	160	10.5 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	628	75.6 %	203	24.4 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	2,997	76.8 %	906	23.2 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,475	79.2 %	650	20.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	3,067	84.7 %	555	15.3 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,397	89.0 %	172	11.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	1,934	93.2 %	141	6.8 %
<b>65+</b>	2,556	98.9 %	29	1.1 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>19,933</b>	<b>86.8 %</b>	<b>3,038</b>	<b>13.2 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	19,933	86.8 %
Uninsured	3,038	13.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>22,971</b>	

**Year: 2007**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	776	93.8 %	51	6.2 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	2,353	93.4 %	166	6.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,379	93.0 %	103	7.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,658	90.0 %	185	10.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	627	74.2 %	218	25.8 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	3,562	76.1 %	1,120	23.9 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,874	82.4 %	612	17.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	3,544	84.6 %	647	15.4 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,697	88.8 %	215	11.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	2,603	91.8 %	234	8.2 %
<b>65+</b>	3,414	98.2 %	64	1.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>24,487</b>	<b>87.1 %</b>	<b>3,615</b>	<b>12.9 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	24,487	87.1 %
Uninsured	3,615	12.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>28,102</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2008**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	808	92.7 %	64	7.3 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	2,389	94.6 %	136	5.4 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,499	94.7 %	84	5.3 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,722	92.4 %	141	7.6 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	664	80.6 %	160	19.4 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	3,722	81.5 %	845	18.5 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	3,034	85.9 %	500	14.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	3,568	87.1 %	529	12.9 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,803	91.8 %	161	8.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	2,708	91.9 %	239	8.1 %
<b>65+</b>	3,363	98.9 %	37	1.1 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>25,280</b>	<b>89.7 %</b>	<b>2,896</b>	<b>10.3 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	25,280	89.7 %
Uninsured	2,896	10.3 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>28,176</b>	

**Year: 2009**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	943	95.4 %	45	4.6 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	2,964	95.6 %	135	4.4 %
<b>6 -12</b>	1,833	95.6 %	84	4.4 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,789	93.3 %	128	6.7 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	763	82.0 %	167	18.0 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	4,220	83.3 %	847	16.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	3,047	85.0 %	537	15.0 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	3,931	89.6 %	457	10.4 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1,967	91.0 %	195	9.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	2,918	93.6 %	200	6.4 %
<b>65+</b>	3,546	98.7 %	46	1.3 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>27,921</b>	<b>90.8 %</b>	<b>2,841</b>	<b>9.2 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	27,921	90.8 %
Uninsured	2,841	9.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>30,762</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and County**

**Year: 2010**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	812	95.0 %	43	5.0 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	2,656	95.8 %	115	4.2 %
<b>6 - 12</b>	1,635	95.0 %	86	5.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	1,780	93.6 %	121	6.4 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	692	81.3 %	159	18.7 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	3,965	83.4 %	792	16.6 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	2,968	84.6 %	542	15.4 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	3,765	87.9 %	517	12.1 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	2,073	91.0 %	204	9.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	3,146	92.5 %	254	7.5 %
<b>65+</b>	3,850	98.6 %	56	1.4 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>27,342</b>	<b>90.4 %</b>	<b>2,889</b>	<b>9.6 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	27,342	90.4 %
Uninsured	2,889	9.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>30,231</b>	

**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Female**

**Year: 2000**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	2,149	95.2 %	108	4.8 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	7,207	95.7 %	324	4.3 %
<b>6 -12</b>	4,513	95.4 %	216	4.6 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	5,099	92.1 %	439	7.9 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,174	85.2 %	379	14.8 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	10,935	88.7 %	1,393	11.3 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	10,235	92.0 %	887	8.0 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	10,657	93.3 %	769	6.7 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	4,028	94.0 %	259	6.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	5,129	96.3 %	199	3.7 %
<b>65+</b>	11,358	99.4 %	66	0.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>73,484</b>	<b>93.6 %</b>	<b>5,039</b>	<b>6.4 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	73,484	93.6 %
Uninsured	5,039	6.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>78,523</b>	

**Year: 2001**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	2,616	95.9 %	112	4.1 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	7,903	96.5 %	288	3.5 %
<b>6 -12</b>	5,119	96.3 %	195	3.7 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	5,724	93.7 %	387	6.3 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,619	85.5 %	443	14.5 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	12,173	89.5 %	1,423	10.5 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	10,923	91.8 %	973	8.2 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	11,740	93.7 %	791	6.3 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	4,496	94.8 %	248	5.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	6,008	96.1 %	243	3.9 %
<b>65+</b>	13,146	99.4 %	76	0.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>82,467</b>	<b>94.1 %</b>	<b>5,179</b>	<b>5.9 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	82,467	94.1 %
Uninsured	5,179	5.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>87,646</b>	

**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2002**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	2,877	96.3 %	109	3.7 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	8,375	96.6 %	298	3.4 %
<b>6 -12</b>	5,653	96.4 %	210	3.6 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	6,222	94.2 %	384	5.8 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,815	87.9 %	387	12.1 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	13,491	90.2 %	1,458	9.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	11,722	92.4 %	963	7.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	12,836	93.4 %	912	6.6 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	5,173	94.7 %	291	5.3 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	6,972	96.1 %	282	3.9 %
<b>65+</b>	14,416	99.4 %	92	0.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>90,552</b>	<b>94.4 %</b>	<b>5,386</b>	<b>5.6 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	90,552	94.4 %
Uninsured	5,386	5.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>95,938</b>	

**Year: 2003**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	2,838	96.5 %	104	3.5 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	8,937	96.9 %	286	3.1 %
<b>6 -12</b>	5,567	97.1 %	168	2.9 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	6,734	95.0 %	352	5.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	3,025	87.5 %	434	12.5 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	14,214	90.2 %	1,536	9.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	11,595	92.4 %	949	7.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	13,002	93.9 %	848	6.1 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	5,071	95.1 %	259	4.9 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	7,402	95.8 %	322	4.2 %
<b>65+</b>	15,034	99.4 %	87	0.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>93,419</b>	<b>94.6 %</b>	<b>5,345</b>	<b>5.4 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	93,419	94.6 %
Uninsured	5,345	5.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>98,764</b>	

**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2004**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	3,035	96.0 %	125	4.0 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	9,419	96.7 %	324	3.3 %
<b>6 -12</b>	5,835	96.7 %	198	3.3 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	7,394	95.5 %	347	4.5 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	3,166	88.1 %	428	11.9 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	16,162	91.0 %	1,600	9.0 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	13,278	93.5 %	919	6.5 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	14,548	94.5 %	852	5.5 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	6,170	95.3 %	302	4.7 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	8,551	96.3 %	330	3.7 %
<b>65+</b>	17,068	99.4 %	101	0.6 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>104,626</b>	<b>95.0 %</b>	<b>5,526</b>	<b>5.0 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	104,626	95.0 %
Uninsured	5,526	5.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>110,152</b>	

**Year: 2005**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	3,391	96.6 %	118	3.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	10,172	97.3 %	279	2.7 %
<b>6 -12</b>	6,271	97.5 %	161	2.5 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	8,404	95.8 %	365	4.2 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	3,693	87.5 %	528	12.5 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	19,189	91.0 %	1,907	9.0 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	14,965	93.4 %	1,059	6.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	16,668	94.2 %	1,018	5.8 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	7,614	95.7 %	340	4.3 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	11,026	96.3 %	419	3.7 %
<b>65+</b>	22,046	99.5 %	114	0.5 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>123,439</b>	<b>95.1 %</b>	<b>6,308</b>	<b>4.9 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	123,439	95.1 %
Uninsured	6,308	4.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>129,747</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2006**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	3,421	95.3 %	168	4.7 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	10,584	97.0 %	328	3.0 %
<b>6 -12</b>	6,615	96.4 %	250	3.6 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	9,007	95.2 %	455	4.8 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	4,094	86.2 %	655	13.8 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	20,278	90.1 %	2,225	9.9 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	15,968	92.9 %	1,229	7.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	17,953	93.6 %	1,224	6.4 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	8,127	94.8 %	445	5.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	12,399	96.0 %	519	4.0 %
<b>65+</b>	22,889	99.3 %	157	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>131,335</b>	<b>94.5 %</b>	<b>7,655</b>	<b>5.5 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	131,335	94.5 %
Uninsured	7,655	5.5 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>138,990</b>	

**Year: 2007**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	3,557	94.6 %	202	5.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	10,698	95.4 %	517	4.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	6,344	95.2 %	319	4.8 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	9,165	93.9 %	594	6.1 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	4,067	84.4 %	750	15.6 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	21,974	89.0 %	2,704	11.0 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	16,761	91.9 %	1,477	8.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	18,641	93.1 %	1,392	6.9 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	8,992	94.2 %	557	5.8 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	13,034	95.7 %	592	4.3 %
<b>65+</b>	24,109	99.3 %	178	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>137,342</b>	<b>93.7 %</b>	<b>9,282</b>	<b>6.3 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	137,342	93.7 %
Uninsured	9,282	6.3 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>146,624</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2008**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	4,089	95.0 %	213	5.0 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	11,841	96.5 %	430	3.5 %
<b>6 -12</b>	7,103	96.7 %	241	3.3 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	9,663	95.0 %	513	5.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	4,525	87.0 %	678	13.0 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	23,878	90.6 %	2,468	9.4 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	17,521	93.4 %	1,247	6.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	19,059	94.0 %	1,227	6.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	9,255	95.1 %	481	4.9 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	13,679	95.7 %	609	4.3 %
<b>65+</b>	25,562	99.3 %	181	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>146,175</b>	<b>94.6 %</b>	<b>8,288</b>	<b>5.4 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	146,175	94.6 %
Uninsured	8,288	5.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>154,463</b>	

**Year: 2009**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	4,654	96.0 %	194	4.0 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	13,653	97.4 %	371	2.6 %
<b>6 -12</b>	8,132	97.0 %	251	3.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	10,653	95.5 %	498	4.5 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	5,339	88.4 %	699	11.6 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	26,122	91.2 %	2,519	8.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	18,544	93.9 %	1,215	6.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	20,421	94.3 %	1,236	5.7 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	9,976	95.1 %	513	4.9 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	14,987	96.2 %	584	3.8 %
<b>65+</b>	26,853	99.3 %	184	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>159,334</b>	<b>95.1 %</b>	<b>8,264</b>	<b>4.9 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	159,334	95.1 %
Uninsured	8,264	4.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>167,598</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2010**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	4,128	94.9 %	223	5.1 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	13,501	97.2 %	389	2.8 %
<b>6 -12</b>	7,349	96.7 %	247	3.3 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	9,803	95.3 %	481	4.7 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	5,296	88.9 %	661	11.1 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	27,110	91.2 %	2,600	8.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	18,594	93.6 %	1,262	6.4 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	19,976	93.8 %	1,318	6.2 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	10,083	94.9 %	537	5.1 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	15,487	95.8 %	687	4.2 %
<b>65+</b>	27,654	99.3 %	184	0.7 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>158,981</b>	<b>94.9 %</b>	<b>8,589</b>	<b>5.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	158,981	94.9 %
Uninsured	8,589	5.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>167,570</b>	

**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Male**

**Year: 2000**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	2,909	94.9 %	156	5.1 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	9,533	95.7 %	427	4.3 %
<b>6 - 12</b>	6,626	95.6 %	307	4.4 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	5,654	92.7 %	442	7.3 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,716	77.2 %	506	22.8 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	9,332	82.0 %	2,054	18.0 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	9,051	85.5 %	1,530	14.5 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	10,151	89.4 %	1,208	10.6 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	3,858	93.0 %	290	7.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	4,924	94.4 %	292	5.6 %
<b>65+</b>	9,509	99.1 %	91	0.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>73,263</b>	<b>90.9 %</b>	<b>7,303</b>	<b>9.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	73,263	90.9 %
Uninsured	7,303	9.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>80,566</b>	

**Year: 2001**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	3,067	95.9 %	131	4.1 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	9,992	96.5 %	367	3.5 %
<b>6 - 12</b>	7,222	96.7 %	250	3.3 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	6,394	94.4 %	380	5.6 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	1,858	78.4 %	511	21.6 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	9,899	81.2 %	2,295	18.8 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	9,912	85.9 %	1,627	14.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	11,286	89.6 %	1,310	10.4 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	4,572	93.2 %	336	6.8 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	5,757	94.9 %	312	5.1 %
<b>65+</b>	10,718	99.1 %	95	0.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>80,677</b>	<b>91.4 %</b>	<b>7,614</b>	<b>8.6 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	80,677	91.4 %
Uninsured	7,614	8.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>88,291</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2002**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	3,479	96.4 %	130	3.6 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	10,945	96.8 %	365	3.2 %
<b>6 -12</b>	7,865	96.6 %	281	3.4 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	6,769	94.6 %	385	5.4 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,000	77.9 %	566	22.1 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	10,843	81.6 %	2,448	18.4 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	10,594	86.2 %	1,689	13.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	11,959	88.6 %	1,539	11.4 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	4,985	91.7 %	449	8.3 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	6,479	94.6 %	367	5.4 %
<b>65+</b>	11,537	99.1 %	103	0.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>87,455</b>	<b>91.3 %</b>	<b>8,322</b>	<b>8.7 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	87,455	91.3 %
Uninsured	8,322	8.7 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>95,777</b>	

**Year: 2003**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	3,863	96.5 %	142	3.5 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	11,454	96.9 %	362	3.1 %
<b>6 -12</b>	7,777	97.0 %	241	3.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	7,081	95.0 %	374	5.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,297	80.1 %	570	19.9 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	11,503	83.1 %	2,341	16.9 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	10,630	87.5 %	1,517	12.5 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	12,942	90.0 %	1,435	10.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	5,390	92.6 %	431	7.4 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	6,918	94.5 %	399	5.5 %
<b>65+</b>	11,743	99.1 %	109	0.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>91,598</b>	<b>92.0 %</b>	<b>7,921</b>	<b>8.0 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	91,598	92.0 %
Uninsured	7,921	8.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>99,519</b>	

**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2004**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	3,790	97.5 %	99	2.5 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	11,941	97.1 %	354	2.9 %
<b>6 -12</b>	8,085	97.0 %	250	3.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	7,673	95.2 %	387	4.8 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,363	79.6 %	606	20.4 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	12,888	83.7 %	2,518	16.3 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	11,494	87.9 %	1,585	12.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	14,731	90.3 %	1,590	9.7 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	6,116	93.2 %	444	6.8 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	8,437	95.8 %	373	4.2 %
<b>65+</b>	13,556	99.2 %	109	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>101,074</b>	<b>92.4 %</b>	<b>8,315</b>	<b>7.6 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	101,074	92.4 %
Uninsured	8,315	7.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>109,389</b>	

**Year: 2005**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	4,087	96.6 %	144	3.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	13,019	97.5 %	339	2.5 %
<b>6 -12</b>	8,799	97.5 %	226	2.5 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	8,935	95.5 %	419	4.5 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,868	80.9 %	679	19.1 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	15,786	83.3 %	3,165	16.7 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	13,672	87.4 %	1,968	12.6 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	17,265	89.9 %	1,932	10.1 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	7,603	92.8 %	593	7.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	10,653	95.5 %	504	4.5 %
<b>65+</b>	16,768	99.2 %	130	0.8 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>119,455</b>	<b>92.2 %</b>	<b>10,099</b>	<b>7.8 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	119,455	92.2 %
Uninsured	10,099	7.8 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>129,554</b>	

**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2006**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	4,403	96.1 %	180	3.9 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	13,936	97.0 %	438	3.0 %
<b>6 -12</b>	9,198	97.0 %	284	3.0 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	9,565	94.8 %	528	5.2 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,946	79.6 %	753	20.4 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	16,677	81.0 %	3,921	19.0 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	14,520	86.2 %	2,325	13.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	18,004	88.8 %	2,271	11.2 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	8,109	91.4 %	765	8.6 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	12,477	95.4 %	597	4.6 %
<b>65+</b>	18,251	99.0 %	191	1.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>128,086</b>	<b>91.3 %</b>	<b>12,253</b>	<b>8.7 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	128,086	91.3 %
Uninsured	12,253	8.7 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>140,339</b>	

**Year: 2007**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	4,444	94.6 %	252	5.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	14,316	96.1 %	575	3.9 %
<b>6 -12</b>	9,055	95.9 %	388	4.1 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	9,472	94.0 %	607	6.0 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	2,921	76.1 %	917	23.9 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	17,077	79.5 %	4,392	20.5 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	14,940	85.5 %	2,537	14.5 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	18,738	88.1 %	2,521	11.9 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	8,603	91.8 %	768	8.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	13,522	94.6 %	769	5.4 %
<b>65+</b>	19,318	99.1 %	185	0.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>132,406</b>	<b>90.5 %</b>	<b>13,911</b>	<b>9.5 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	132,406	90.5 %
Uninsured	13,911	9.5 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>146,317</b>	

**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2008**


	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	5,179	94.6 %	293	5.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	15,588	96.9 %	491	3.1 %
<b>6 -12</b>	9,742	96.6 %	342	3.4 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	9,778	94.2 %	600	5.8 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	3,052	77.6 %	882	22.4 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	18,012	81.5 %	4,092	18.5 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	14,931	86.9 %	2,258	13.1 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	18,998	89.7 %	2,189	10.3 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	9,186	92.8 %	713	7.2 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	14,281	94.7 %	792	5.3 %
<b>65+</b>	19,879	99.0 %	205	1.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>138,626</b>	<b>91.5 %</b>	<b>12,857</b>	<b>8.5 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	138,626	91.5 %
Uninsured	12,857	8.5 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>151,483</b>	

**Year: 2009**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	5,367	95.6 %	247	4.4 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	17,588	97.5 %	458	2.5 %
<b>6 -12</b>	11,161	97.4 %	298	2.6 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	10,961	95.3 %	537	4.7 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	3,490	81.3 %	803	18.7 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	19,895	83.4 %	3,952	16.6 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	16,021	88.2 %	2,143	11.8 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	19,874	90.6 %	2,072	9.4 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	9,863	93.0 %	737	7.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	15,489	95.4 %	743	4.6 %
<b>65+</b>	21,124	98.9 %	231	1.1 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>150,833</b>	<b>92.5 %</b>	<b>12,221</b>	<b>7.5 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	150,833	92.5 %
Uninsured	12,221	7.5 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>163,054</b>	




**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2010**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Under 1</b>	5,327	95.4 %	257	4.6 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	16,925	97.3 %	467	2.7 %
<b>6 -12</b>	10,157	97.4 %	267	2.6 %
<b>13 - 18</b>	9,774	94.9 %	526	5.1 %
<b>19 - 20</b>	3,270	79.8 %	828	20.2 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	19,195	81.8 %	4,284	18.2 %
<b>31 - 39</b>	15,568	86.7 %	2,382	13.3 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	19,754	90.2 %	2,136	9.8 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	10,562	92.7 %	832	7.3 %
<b>55 - 64</b>	15,785	94.4 %	940	5.6 %
<b>65+</b>	21,806	99.1 %	207	0.9 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>148,123</b>	<b>91.9 %</b>	<b>13,126</b>	<b>8.1 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	148,123	91.9 %
Uninsured	13,126	8.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>161,249</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Unknown Gender**

**Year: 2000**

	Insured	Percent	Uninsured	Percent
<b>Under 1</b>	1	100.0 %		
<b>1 - 5</b>	2	100.0 %		
<b>6 - 12</b>	1	100.0 %		
<b>13 - 18</b>	6	100.0 %		
<b>21 - 30</b>			1	100.0 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2	66.7 %	1	33.3 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1	100.0 %		
<b>65+</b>	1	100.0 %		
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>87.5 %</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12.5 %</b>

Insured v. Uninsured	Visits	Percentage
Insured	14	87.5 %
Uninsured	2	12.5 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>16</b>	

**Year: 2001**


	Insured	Percent	Uninsured	Percent
<b>1 - 5</b>	2	100.0 %		
<b>6 - 12</b>	1	100.0 %		
<b>19 - 20</b>	1	100.0 %		
<b>21 - 30</b>			1	100.0 %
<b>55 - 64</b>			1	100.0 %
<b>65+</b>	1	100.0 %		
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>71.4 %</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>28.6 %</b>

Insured v. Uninsured	Visits	Percentage
Insured	5	71.4 %
Uninsured	2	28.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>7</b>	

**Year: 2002**

	Insured	Percent
<b>Under 1</b>	1	100.0 %
<b>1 - 5</b>	1	100.0 %
<b>21 - 30</b>	1	100.0 %
<b>40 - 49</b>	2	100.0 %
<b>50 - 54</b>	1	100.0 %
<b>65+</b>	1	100.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>

Insured v. Uninsured	Visits	Percentage
Insured	7	100.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>7</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2003**

	Insured	Percent	Uninsured	Percent
1 - 5	4	100.0 %		
19 - 20	1	100.0 %		
21 - 30	1	100.0 %		
31 - 39	2	66.7 %	1	33.3 %
40 - 49			2	100.0 %
65+	1	100.0 %		
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>75.0 %</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>25.0 %</b>

Insured v. Uninsured	Visits	Percentage
Insured	9	75.0 %
Uninsured	3	25.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>12</b>	

**Year: 2004**

	Insured	Percent	Uninsured	Percent
6 - 12	4	100.0 %		
21 - 30	2	50.0 %	2	50.0 %
31 - 39	1	100.0 %		
65+	2	100.0 %		
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>81.8 %</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>18.2 %</b>

Insured v. Uninsured	Visits	Percentage
Insured	9	81.8 %
Uninsured	2	18.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>11</b>	

**Year: 2005**

	Insured	Percent
13 - 18	2	100.0 %
21 - 30	1	100.0 %
40 - 49	1	100.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>

Insured v. Uninsured	Visits	Percentage
Insured	4	100.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>4</b>	

**Year: 2007**


	Insured	Percent
55 - 64	1	100.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>

Insured v. Uninsured	Visits	Percentage
Insured	1	100.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>1</b>	

**Year: 2008**

	Insured	Percent
21 - 30	1	100.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>

Insured v. Uninsured	Visits	Percentage
Insured	1	100.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>1</b>	



**ED Visits - Age Group and Gender**

**Year: 2009**

	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>65+</b>	1	100.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Uninsured	1	100.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>1</b>	

**Year: 2010**

	<b>Insured</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Uninsured</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>13 - 18</b>	1	100.0 %		
<b>21 - 30</b>	1	100.0 %		
<b>40 - 49</b>	1	100.0 %		
<b>65+</b>	1	50.0 %	1	50.0 %
<b>Overall:</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>80.0 %</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20.0 %</b>

<b>Insured v. Uninsured</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Insured	4	80.0 %
Uninsured	1	20.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>5</b>	